THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINTIAL.

Civil Writ Petition (M/S) No. 1221 of 2006

1. Shashi Bhushan Raturi, Son of Gyana Nand Raturi, Resident of Village Barethi, P.O. Matli, District Uttarkashi.
2. Ambika Prasad Son of Sri Mahima Nand, Resident of Village Mukhwa Patti-Taknaur, Tehsil Bhatwari District Uttarkashi.
3. Jayabeer Singh Son of Sunder Singh Agarwal, Resident of Village Chandpur (Barethi) P.O. Matli, District Uttarkashi.
4. Sanjeev Semwal Son of Sri Devi Saran, Resident of Village Mukhwa Patti Taknaur, Tehsil Bhatwari, District Uttarkashi.
5. Gobardhan Prasad Son of Sri Jiwanand, Resident of Village Mukhwa Patti-Taknaur, Tehsil Bhatwari, District Uttarkashi.
6. Om Prakash Son of Darath Byas, Resident of Bhatwari, District Uttarkashi.

……. **Petitioners.**

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary Education, Civil Secretariat, Dehradun.
2. Director of Education, Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
3. District Basic Education Officer, Uttarkashi,
4. Principal District Institute of Education and Training Uttarkashi.

…… **Respondents.**

Sri N.S. Negi, learned counsel for the petitioners.
Sri R.C. Arya, learned standing counsel for the State-respondents.

Dated September 05, 2006.
PC:
(Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.)

(Interim Relief Application No. 11288 of 2006)

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Learned Standing Counsel has accepted the notices on behalf of the respondents.

By means of this writ petition the petitioner has sought mandamus of this court to direct the respondent to consider the

candidature of this petition for appointment in Special B.T.C. Course for the session-2006-2007 in pursuance of the advertisement dated 9-7-2006 (Annexure No.5 to the writ petition).

In the course of arguments, the learned counsel for petitioner confined this prayer that representation of the petitioner and few others made to the District Basic Education Officer Uttarkashi made on 11-07-5006 (Annexure No. 6 to the writ petition) has not yet been decided, therefore, the respondents may be directed to consider the representation in the light of the order of this Court dated 5.12.2003 and decide the same by a reasoned order. The learned counsel also submitted the writ petition may be disposed of finally.

In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it will be in the fitness of things to direct the respondents to consider the representation and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order.

Accordingly, the respondents including the District Basic Education Officer Uttarkashi are directed to decide the representation dated 11-7-2006 moved by the petitioner (Annexure No. 6 to the writ petition) with in a period of four weeks by a reasoned and speaking order in the light of the order passed by this Court, referred to above.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(B.S. Verma, J)

RCP